



# 4A0-110<sup>Q&As</sup>

Alcatel-Lucent Advanced Troubleshooting

## Pass Alcatel-Lucent 4A0-110 Exam with 100% Guarantee

Free Download Real Questions & Answers **PDF** and **VCE** file from:

<https://www.geekcert.com/4a0-110.html>

100% Passing Guarantee  
100% Money Back Assurance

Following Questions and Answers are all new published by Alcatel-Lucent Official Exam Center

-  **Instant Download** After Purchase
-  **100% Money Back** Guarantee
-  **365 Days** Free Update
-  **800,000+** Satisfied Customers





### QUESTION 1

Which one of the following CLI can be used to view all management VPLS configured on a 7x50?

- A. Show service service-using m-vpls
- B. Show service service-using
- C. Show router vpls detail
- D. Show service id base
- E. There is no CLI command to display management VPLS

Correct Answer: B

### QUESTION 2

An operator has entered the following CLI commands to configured redistribution of OSPF routes into ISIS. None of the active OSPF routes are redistributed into ISIS, what is the problem in the CLI commands?

```
config>router>policy-options> begin
  policy-statement ospf-isis
    entry 10
      action accept
      from
        protocol ospf
      exit all
config>router>isis>
  area-id 69.1000
  export "ospf-isis"
  interface "toNode2"
```

- A. OSPF area has to be configured as NSSA
- B. Default-action has to be configured as accept
- C. Import policy has to be configured under OSPF
- D. The policy is still in edit mode, therefore it will not take any effect
- E. to protocol isis has to be added under entry 10

Correct Answer: D

### QUESTION 3

L1 ISIS adjacency is up between two routers (Node-1 and Node-2) with MD5 authentication configured. During a maintenance window, an operator was planning to change one of the ISIS hello authentication key from admin to admin123. After removing the hello authentication key from Node-1 (no change on Node-2 side), the ISIS adjacency stayed up. The operator decided to fall back to the original configuration and called Alcatel for support. Which of the following statement best describe the cause of the problem? Select one answer only.



```
config>router>isis# info
-----
area-id 49.0034
authentication-key "aiNjJt.qIqWjt49Wre6rPk" hash2
authentication-type message-digest
lsp-lifetime 65535
traffic-engineering
interface "to-Node2"
  level-capability level-1
  hello-authentication-key "aiNjJt.qIqWjt49Wre6rPk" hash2
  hello-authentication-type message-digest
  interface-type point-to-point
```

Node-2

```
config>router>isis# info
-----
area-id 49.0034
authentication-key "aiNjJt.qIqWjt49Wre6rPk" hash2
authentication-type message-digest
lsp-lifetime 65535
traffic-engineering
interface "to-Node1"
  level-capability level-1
  hello-authentication-key "aiNjJt.qIqWjt49Wre6rPk" hash2
  hello-authentication-type message-digest
  interface-type point-to-point
```

- A. The ISIS hello authentication key was not configured properly in the first place, that's why removing the authentication key does not impact the adjacency
- B. The ISIS authentication key is the same as the hello authentication key, therefore removing hello authentication key does not impact the adjacency
- C. The system interface is missing from the ISIS configuration, therefore ISIS is not working properly even before the change
- D. ISIS hello authentication key is only used for hello packet exchange. It does not affect ISIS adjacency
- E. ISIS hello authentication key is not used to bring up ISIS adjacency when traffic-engineering is enabled on the routers

Correct Answer: B

#### QUESTION 4

The LDP session is not down between Node-1 and Node-2. Based on the following configurations, what is the cause of the problem?



Node-1

```
config>router>
  ospf
    traffic-engineering
    area 0.0.0.0
      interface "toPod2"
        authentication-key "Ag82AiJ5CdWf/SU" hash2
    ldp
      interface-parameters
      interface "toPod2"
      targeted-session

# show router ldp session
=====
LDP Sessions
=====
Peer LDP Id          Adj Type State      Mesg Sent  Mesg Recv  Up Time
-----
10.10.1.2:0          Link   Unknown  2           3           0d 00:00:08
-----
No. of Sessions: 1
```

Node-2

```
config>router>
  ospf
    area 0.0.0.0
      interface "toPod1"
    area 0.0.0.1
      interface "system"
    ldp
      interface-parameters
      interface "toPod2"
      targeted-session

# show router ldp session
=====
LDP Sessions
=====
Peer LDP Id          Adj Type State      Mesg Sent  Mesg Recv  Up Time
-----
10.10.1.1:0          Both    Open    190         192         0d 00:09:55
-----
No. of Sessions: 1
=====
```

- A. LDP targeted-session is enabled with no service configured
- B. OSPF adjacency is not up between Node-1 and Node-2
- C. Router id is not advertised by OSPF
- D. LDP is disabled on Node-1
- E. Traffic-engineering is not enabled on Node-2

Correct Answer: C

## QUESTION 5

A policy is configured to redistribute four active static routes into ISIS. No ISIS route is received on the far end, what is the cause of the problem?



```
config>router>policy-options>
  policy-statement static-isis
    entry 10
      from
        protocol static
config>router>isis>
  area-id 69.1000
  export "static-isis"
  interface "toNode2"
```

- A. Action accept?has to be configured for entry 10
- B. Default-action has to be configured as accept
- C. Import policy should be configured under ISIS instead of export policy
- D. Within entry 10, to protocol isis has to be configured
- E. A prefix list has to be configured to filter the routes

Correct Answer: A

[Latest 4A0-110 Dumps](#)

[4A0-110 Exam Questions](#)

[4A0-110 Braindumps](#)