



# JN0-694<sup>Q&As</sup>

Enterprise Routing and Switching Support, Professional (JNCSP-ENT)

## Pass Juniper JN0-694 Exam with 100% Guarantee

Free Download Real Questions & Answers **PDF** and **VCE** file from:

<https://www.geekcert.com/jn0-694.html>

100% Passing Guarantee  
100% Money Back Assurance

Following Questions and Answers are all new published by Juniper  
Official Exam Center

-  **Instant Download** After Purchase
-  **100% Money Back** Guarantee
-  **365 Days** Free Update
-  **800,000+** Satisfied Customers





### QUESTION 1

You have configured OSPF between two routers and the adjacency is not coming up. You confirm that the physical link between them is up and then run the commands shown in the exhibit on both routers. Which two configuration mistakes apply? (Choose two.)

```
user@R1> show ospf interface
Interface      State      Area      DR ID      BDR ID      Nbrs
fe-0/0/1.0    DR         0.0.0.1   1.1.1.1    0.0.0.0     0
Type: LAN, Address: 10.50.10.26, Mask: 255.255.255.252, MTU: 1500, Cost: 1
DR addr: 10.50.10.26, Priority: 128
Adj count: 0
Hello: 10, Dead: 40, ReXmit: 5, Not Stub
Auth type: None
Protection type: None
Topology default (ID 0) -> Cost: 0
```

```
user@R2> show ospf interface
Interface      State      Area      DR ID      BDR ID      Nbrs
fe-0/0/2.0    DR         0.0.0.2   1.1.1.2    0.0.0.0     0
Type: LAN, Address: 10.50.10.25, Mask: 255.255.255.252, MTU: 1500, Cost: 1
DR addr: 10.50.10.25, Priority: 128
Adj count: 0
Hello: 20, Dead: 80, ReXmit: 5, Not Stub
Auth type: None
Protection type: None
Topology default (ID 0) -> Cost: 1
```

- A. The hello timer is mismatched.
- B. The subnet is mismatched.
- C. The DR ID is mismatched.
- D. The area ID is mismatched.

Correct Answer: AD

### QUESTION 2

Your switch is experiencing a problem where a port that should have only one host connected occasionally shows that multiple MAC addresses are being learned.

Which configuration setting would ensure that no extra hosts can join the network using this switch port?

- A. mac-limit
- B. no-mac-learning




- C. persistent-learning
- D. bpdv-block-on-edge

Correct Answer: D

**QUESTION 3**

Referring to the exhibit, the prefix 3.3.3.3/32 is not in R1's routing table. Which two configuration changes on R2 would resolve the problem? (Choose two.)



```

user@R1> show route receive-protocol bgp 2.2.2.2 all extensive

inet.0: 6 destinations, 6 routes (5 active, 0 holddown, 1 hidden)
 3.3.3.3/32 (1 entry, 0 announced)
   Accepted
   Nexthop: 172.20.1.3
   Localpref: 100
   AS path: 200 I

user@R1> show route 3.3.3.3 all

inet.0: 6 destinations, 6 routes (5 active, 0 holddown, 1 hidden)
+ = Active Route, - = Last Active, * = Both

3.3.3.3/32          [BGP/170] 00:02:32, localpref 100, from 2.2.2.2
                   AS path: 200 I
                   Unusable

user@R1> show route

inet.0: 6 destinations, 6 routes (5 active, 0 holddown, 1 hidden)
+ = Active Route, - = Last Active, * = Both

1.1.1.1/32         *[Direct/0] 00:47:57
                   > via lo0.0
2.2.2.2/32         *[OSPF/10] 00:04:18, metric 1
                   > to 172.10.1.2 via ge-0/0/1.0
172.10.1.0/24     *[Direct/0] 00:47:57
                   > via ge-0/0/1.0
172.10.1.1/32     *[Local/0] 00:47:57
                   Local via ge-0/0/1.0
224.0.0.5/32     *[OSPF/10] 00:47:58, metric 1
                   MultiRecv
  
```

- A. Option A
- B. Option B
- C. Option C
- D. Option D



Correct Answer: BC

---

#### QUESTION 4

-- Exhibit -user@R1> show class-of-service interface ge-0/0/0 Physical interface: ge-0/0/0, Index: 134 Queues supported. 8, Queues in use: 4 Scheduler map: , Index: 2 Congestion-notification: Disabled

Logical interface: ge-0/0/0.0, Index: 69 Object Name Type Index Classifier ipprec-compatibility ip 13 -- Exhibit -

Click the Exhibit button.

You are sending traffic to the ge-0/0/0 interface on R1 with the expedited forwarding (101) IP precedence bits. However, the counters on the router show that it is not processing any traffic in the expedited forwarding queue.

Referring to the exhibit, what is causing the problem?


- A. The classifier does not contain a mapping for this precedence value.
- B. The classifier is assigning the traffic a high loss priority.
- C. The classifier is assigning the traffic to the best-effort forwarding class.
- D. The classifier is dropping the traffic.

Correct Answer: C

---

#### QUESTION 5

-- Exhibit -- Exhibit -Click the Exhibit button. You are asked to assist with a problem with a new EBGP peering between Site 1 and Site 2. Referring to



```

user@R3> show bgp summary
Groups: 2 Peers: 2 Down peers: 0
Table
inet.0
Peer          S      AS      InPkt   OutPkt   OutQ   Flaps Last Up/Dwn
State|#Active/Received/Accepted/Damped...
172.22.0.1    5      65501    3       3       0     0     3 0/0/0/0 0/0/0/0
192.168.1.2   8      65502    8       6       0     0    1:52 0/5/5/0 0/0/0/0

user@R3> show route advertising-protocol bgp 172.22.0.1

user@R3> show route 100.100.0.0/16 terse

inet.0: 14 destinations, 19 routes (14 active, 0 holddown, 0 hidden)
+ = Active Route, - = Last Active, * = Both

A Destination      P Prf  Metric 1  Metric 2  Next hop          AS path
* 100.100.0.0/24   O 150    0         0         >172.24.0.2      I
  100.100.0.0/24   B 170    100        0         >172.24.0.2      I
* 100.100.1.0/24   O 150    0         0         >172.24.0.2      I
  100.100.1.0/24   B 170    100        0         >172.24.0.2      I
* 100.100.2.0/24   O 150    0         0         >172.24.0.2      I
  100.100.2.0/24   B 170    100        0         >172.24.0.2      I
* 100.100.3.0/24   O 150    0         0         >172.24.0.2      I
  100.100.3.0/24   B 170    100        0         >172.24.0.2      I
* 100.100.4.0/24   O 150    0         0         >172.24.0.2      I
  100.100.4.0/24   B 170    100        0         >172.24.0.2      I

```

the exhibit, Site 1 is not receiving the 100.100.0.0/16 routes from Site 2. Which action will resolve the problem?

- A. Enable the advertise-inactive parameter for the EBGP peering.
- B. Enable the as-override parameter for the EBGP peering.
- C. Create an export policy to export the IBGP routes over the EBGP peering.
- D. Create a next-hop-self policy and apply it as an export policy to the EBGP peering.

Correct Answer: A

[JN0-694 VCE Dumps](#)

[JN0-694 Practice Test](#)

[JN0-694 Brindumps](#)