



JN0-662^{Q&As}

Service Provider Routing and Switching - Professional (JNCIP-SP)

Pass Juniper JN0-662 Exam with 100% Guarantee

Free Download Real Questions & Answers **PDF** and **VCE** file from:

<https://www.geekcert.com/jn0-662.html>

100% Passing Guarantee
100% Money Back Assurance

Following Questions and Answers are all new published by Juniper
Official Exam Center

- ⚙️ **Instant Download** After Purchase
- ⚙️ **100% Money Back** Guarantee
- ⚙️ **365 Days** Free Update
- ⚙️ **800,000+** Satisfied Customers





QUESTION 1

Click the Exhibit button.



```
user@R1> show isis database detail
```

```
IS-IS level 1 Link-state database:
```

```
R1.00-00 Sequence: 0x19, Checksum: 0x3355, Lifetime: 976 secs
```

```
IP prefix: 192.168.16.4/32 Metric: 10 Internal Down
```

```
IP prefix: 192.168.16.5/32 Metric: 10 Internal Down
```

```
IP prefix: 192.168.16.6/32 Metric: 20 Internal Down
```

```
IP prefix: 192.168.16.7/32 Metric: 20 Internal Down
```

```
IS-IS level 2 link-state database:
```

```
R1.00-00 Sequence: 0x1c, Checksum: 0x3355, Lifetime: 976 secs
```

```
IS neighbor: R2.02 Metric: 10
```

```
IS neighbor: R3.02 Metric: 10
```

```
IP prefix: 10.0.0.16/30 Metric: 10 Internal Up
```

```
IP prefix: 10.0.0.20/30 Metric: 10 Internal Up
```

```
IP prefix: 192.168.16.3/32 Metric: 0 Internal Up
```

```
R2.00-00 Sequence: 0x19, Checksum: 0x3355, Lifetime: 973 secs
```

```
IS neighbor: R2.02 Metric: 10
```

```
IS neighbor: R3.03 Metric: 10
```

```
IP prefix: 10.0.0.16/30 Metric: 10 Internal Up
```

```
IP prefix: 10.0.0.24/30 Metric: 10 Internal Up
```

```
IP prefix: 192.168.16.4/32 Metric: 0 Internal Up
```

```
R2.02-00 Sequence: 0x17, Checksum: 0x3355, Lifetime: 973 secs
```

```
IS neighbor: R1.00 Metric: 0
```

```
IS neighbor: R2.00 Metric: 0
```

```
R3.00-00 Sequence: 0x12, Checksum: 0x3355, Lifetime: 973 secs
```

```
IS neighbor: R3.02 Metric: 10
```

```
IS neighbor: R3.03 Metric: 10
```

```
IP prefix: 10.0.0.20/30 Metric: 10 Internal Up
```

```
IP prefix: 10.0.0.24/30 Metric: 10 Internal Up
```

```
IP prefix: 10.0.0.28/30 Metric: 10 Internal Up
```

```
IP prefix: 10.0.0.32/30 Metric: 20 Internal Up
```

```
IP prefix: 10.0.0.36/30 Metric: 10 Internal Up
```

```
IP prefix: 192.168.16.5/32 Metric: 0 Internal Up
```

```
IP prefix: 192.168.16.6/32 Metric: 10 Internal Up
```

```
IP prefix: 192.168.16.7/32 Metric: 10 Internal Up
```

```
R3.02-00 Sequence: 0xb, Checksum: 0x3355, Lifetime: 973 secs
```

```
IS neighbor: R1.00 Metric: 0
```

```
IS neighbor: R3.00 Metric: 0
```

```
R3.03-00 Sequence: 0xb, Checksum: 0x3355, Lifetime: 973 secs
```

```
IS neighbor: R2.00 Metric: 0
```

```
IS neighbor: R3.00 Metric: 0
```

Referring to the exhibit, which statement is correct?



- A. IP address 192.168.16.5 is on a directly connected interface.
- B. Four routes have been leaked from the Level 2 area to the Level 1 area.
- C. The path to IP address 192.168.16.6 is currently unavailable.
- D. R1 has two Level 2 adjacencies and one Level 1 adjacency to other routers.

Correct Answer: A

QUESTION 2

Click the Exhibit button.

```
[edit protocols]
user@router# show
pim {
  rp {
    local {
      address 10.1.1.2;
    }
  }
}
```

While logging in to routers on your network, you find the exact configuration shown in the exhibit on multiple devices.

Which multicast RP strategy is being used in this scenario?

- A. embedded-rp
- B. auto-rp
- C. bsr
- D. anycast-rp

Correct Answer: D

QUESTION 3

Click the Exhibit button.



```
user@PE2# show
iw0 {
  unit 0 {
    encapsulation vlan-ccc;
    vlan-id 610;
    peer-unit 1;
  }
  unit 1 {
    encapsulation vlan-ccc;
    vlan-id 610;
    peer-unit 1;
  }
}
```

You have configured Layer 2 VPN stitching between two Layer 2 circuits on PE2, but traffic is not passing through the VPN.


Referring to the exhibit, what is the problem?

- A. The unit 1 peer unit must be set to 0.
- B. The VLAN IDs must be lower than 512.
- C. The VLAN IDs must be different on each unit.
- D. The peer units must reference the VLAN IDs.

Correct Answer: A

QUESTION 4

Click the Exhibit button.



Referring to the exhibit, what must be added to the existing configuration to ensure that per-prefix load balancing occurs?

- A. multihop
- B. keep all
- C. multipath
- D. family inet unicast

Correct Answer: C

QUESTION 5

Click the Exhibit button.



```
user@R1> show route 200/24
```

```
inet.0: 14 destinations, 15 routes (14 active, 0 holddown, 0 hidden) + = Active Route, - = Last Active, *  
= Both
```

```
200.0.0.0/24    *[BGP/170] 01:19:08, MED 1, localpref 100, from 192.168.10.4  
                AS path: 6 100 I, validation-state: unverified  
                > to 20.0.0.2 via ge-1/0/5.0  
                [BGP/170] 01:19:08, MED 10, localpref 100, from 192.168.10.3  
                AS path: 10 100 I, validation-state: unverified  
                > to 10.0.0.2 via qe-1/0/4.0
```

```
user@R1> show route 200/24
```

```
inet.0: 14 destinations, 16 routes (14 active, 1 holddown, 0 hidden) + = Active Route, - = Last Active, *  
= Both
```

```
200.0.0.0/24    +[BGP/170] 01:19:10, MED 10, localpref 100, from 192.168.10.3  
                AS path: 10 100 I, validation-state: unverified  
                > to 10.0.0.2 via qe-1/0/4.0  
                [BGP/170] 00:00:00, MED 0, localpref 100, from 192.168.10.2  
                AS path: 6 100 I, validation-state: unverified  
                > to 30.0.0.2 via qe-1/1/2.0  
                -[BGP/170] 01:19:10, MED 1, localpref 100, from 192.168.10.4  
                AS path: 6 100 I, validation-state: unverified  
                > to 20.0.0.2 via qe-1/0/5.0
```

```
user@R1> show route 200/24
```

```
inet.0: 14 destinations, 15 routes (14 active, 1 holddown, 0 hidden) + = Active Route, - = Last Active, *  
= Both
```

```
200.0.0.0/24    +[BGP/170] 01:19:13, MED 1, localpref 100, from 192.168.10.4  
                AS path: 6 100 I, validation-state: unverified  
                > to 20.0.0.2 via ge-1/0/5.0  
                -[BGP/170] 01:19:13, MED 10, localpref 100, from 192.168.10.3  
                AS path: 10 100 I, validation-state: unverified  
                > to 10.0.0.2 via qe-1/0/4.0
```

```
user@R1> show route 200/24
```

```
inet.0: 14 destinations, 15 routes (14 active, 0 holddown, 0 hidden) + = Active Route, - = Last Active, *  
= Both
```

```
200.0.0.0/24    *[BGP/170] 01:19:15, MED 1, localpref 100, from 192.168.10.4  
                AS path: 6 100 I, validation-state: unverified  
                > to 20.0.0.2 via ge-1/0/5.0  
                [BGP/170] 01:19:15, MED 10, localpref 100, from 192.168.10.3  
                AS path: 10 100 I, validation-state: unverified  
                > to 10.0.0.2 via qe-1/0/4.0
```




You have deployed route reflectors in your network. You are receiving the route 200.0.0.0/24 from AS10 and AS6 and are seeing the oscillation happening as shown in the exhibit.

What are two ways to solve this issue? (Choose two.)

- A. Configure the always-compare-med parameter on both route reflectors.
- B. Configure the add-path parameter on both route reflectors.
- C. Configure the med-plus-igp parameter on both route reflectors.
- D. Configure the as-path-ignore parameter on both route reflectors.

Correct Answer: AC

[JN0-662 PDF Dumps](#)

[JN0-662 Practice Test](#)

[JN0-662 Exam Questions](#)