



LSAT-TEST^{Q&As}

Law School Admission Test: Logical Reasoning, Reading Comprehension, Analytical Reasoning

Pass LAST LSAT-TEST Exam with 100% Guarantee

Free Download Real Questions & Answers **PDF** and **VCE** file from:

<https://www.geekcert.com/lSAT-test.html>

100% Passing Guarantee
100% Money Back Assurance

Following Questions and Answers are all new published by LAST
Official Exam Center

- ⚙️ **Instant Download** After Purchase
- ⚙️ **100% Money Back** Guarantee
- ⚙️ **365 Days** Free Update
- ⚙️ **800,000+** Satisfied Customers





QUESTION 1

In a school, 9 students, Andrew, Bach, Caesar, Drew, Elena, Fischer, Grant, Hughes, and Ileana are selected to form 3 debate teams – Team A, Team B and Team C - to participate in interschool competitions. The following information is known: Each team will have exactly 3 students and no student can be a part of more than one team Caesar cannot be selected in Team A if Ileana is not selected in Team A as well Andrew and Bach are in the same team and so are Elena and Grant Andrew and Caesar are not in the same team Bach and Ileana are not in the same team Drew and Elena are not in the same team

Which following pair of students cannot be in the same team?

- A. Bach and Elena
- B. Fischer and Ileana
- C. Bach and Fischer
- D. Fischer and Grant
- E. Elena and Hughes

Correct Answer: A

QUESTION 2

Several recent studies establish that most people would want to be informed if they had any serious medical condition. In each study, over 80 percent of the people surveyed indicated that they would want to be told.

Each of the following, if true, weakens the argument EXCEPT:

- A. In another recent study, most of the people surveyed indicated that they would not want to be told if they had a serious medical condition.
- B. People often do not indicate their true feelings when responding to surveys.
- C. Some of the researchers conducting the studies had no background in medicine.
- D. Some questions asked in the studies suggested that reasonable people would want to be told if they had a serious medical condition.
- E. The people surveyed in the studies were all young students in introductory psychology courses.

Correct Answer: C

This Weaken question provides a virtual clinic in analyzing a study; four of the choices will weaken the conclusion based on the study results, while the right answer will be the choice that's irrelevant, outside the scope, or even strengthens the argument. The conclusion is that most people would want to be told if they had a serious medical condition, based on the fact that 80 percent of those surveyed said that they would want to be told. When looking to weaken any argument that relies on a study, experiment, or survey, we look for choices that would invalidate (or at least seriously call into question) the results of the survey, and the weakeners here, as discussed below, fall into fairly common categories. The choice that does not weaken the argument predictably strays from the scope, and that's choice [Some



of the researchers conducting the...]: The background of the researchers has no impact upon how those surveyed chose their answers, or on how the author interprets the results.

QUESTION 3

City council member: Despite the city's desperate need to exploit any available source of revenue, the mayor has repeatedly blocked council members' attempts to pass legislation imposing real estate development fees. It is clear that in doing so the mayor is sacrificing the city's interests to personal interests. The mayor cites figures to show that, in the current market, fees of the size proposed would significantly reduce the number of building starts and thus, on balance, result in a revenue loss to the city. But the important point is that the mayor's family is heavily involved in real estate development and thus has a strong financial interest in the matter.

Which one of the following most accurately and completely expresses the main conclusion of the city council member's argument?

- A. Imposing real estate development fees is the best way for the city to exploit the available sources of revenue.
- B. The city would benefit financially from the passage of legislation imposing real estate development fees.
- C. In blocking council members' attempts to impose real estate development fees, the mayor is sacrificing the city's interests to personal interests.
- D. Significantly reducing the number of building starts would not, on balance, result in revenue loss to the city.
- E. The mayor's family has a strong financial interest in preventing the passage of legislation that would impose real estate development fees

Correct Answer: C

One argument, two questions. First we need to find the main point. The city seems eager for money, but the mayor won't allow legislation imposing fees for real estate. Think ahead! If that's the conclusion, the rest of the argument will be structured to provide evidence that the city wants money but the mayor's blocking said legislation. Is that what we get? Nope; the council member proceeds from there to a new point, and the conclusion Keyword phrase "it is clear that" is a strong clue that what's coming up is at least part of the main point. The council member accuses the mayor of putting his own interests above the city's. The next sentence contains the mayor's rationale for blocking the legislation, but the council member blows right past that, stating that the most important point is that the mayor's family is in the real estate biz and therefore has a financial interest in this matter. Can this last fact stand by itself; that is, can it satisfy the Training One Sentence Test? No; don't be fooled by the phrase "the most important point"; surely the council member didn't speak up merely to point out the mayor's family business and its interest in the matter. This last point relates back to the assertion that by blocking the legislation and not allowing fees on real estate development, the mayor is sacrificing the city's welfare in favor of his own (which, incidentally, allows us to kill option [The mayor's family has a strong financial interest in...]). All the statements point in this direction, and a quick scan for this yields option [In blocking council members' attempts to...].

QUESTION 4

The first person in the group starts off by naming anything that is geographical. It could be a city, state, country, river, lake, or any proper geographical term. For example, the person might say, "Boston." The second person has ten seconds to think of how the word ends and come up with another geographical term starting with that letter. The second participant might say, "Norway," since the geographical term has to start with "N." The third person would have to choose a word beginning with "Y." If a player fails to think of a correct answer within the time limit, that player is out of the game. The last person to survive is the champion.



The answer must be

- A. in New York
- B. within the United States
- C. proper geographical terms
- D. in the same region
- E. along a coast line

Correct Answer: C

QUESTION 5

Selena: Asteroid impact on the Earth caused the extinction of the dinosaurs by raising vast clouds of dust, thus blocking the Sun's rays and cooling the planet beyond the capacity of the dinosaurs, or perhaps the vegetation that supported them, to adapt. A worldwide dust layer provides evidence of asteroid impact at approximately the correct time, and a huge crater exists on the edge of the Yucatan peninsula in Mexico.

Trent: That asteroid crater is not large enough for the requisite amount of dust to have been produced.

Besides, the extinction of dinosaur species took many years, not just one or two.

So the extinctions must have been due not to asteroid impact on the Earth but to some other kind of cause.

Trent's argument assumes that

- A. any collision of an asteroid with the Earth would have occurred on a land area rather than an ocean
- B. dinosaurs in the neighborhood of an asteroid impact but not within the zone of direct impact would have survived such an impact
- C. any event that takes place over a long period of time has many different kinds of causes
- D. dust from the impact of an asteroid on the Earth would not have had any cooling effect on the climate
- E. no more than one large asteroid struck the Earth during the period when the dinosaurs were becoming extinct

Correct Answer: E

The stem tells us that Trent is the featured player in this one, but first Selena's argument. In a nutshell, Selena thinks asteroid impact unleashed a chain of events that caused the dinosaurs to die out. Trent responds that the extinction of the dinosaurs must have been due to some cause other than asteroid impact, based on the facts that the crater Selena mentions is too small to create the amount of dust required in her scenario, and that the extinction took many years. Both of Trent's facts seem to argue against the likelihood that the Mexican asteroid, by itself, did the dinosaurs in, but what if many asteroid impacts over time raised enough dust to set the process described in motion? (Notice that Selena never says that a single asteroid wiped out the dinosaurs.) If Trent accepted the possibility of multiple impacts, he'd have to rework his argument. For his argument as stated to stand, he'd have to assume that this didn't happen.



VCE & PDF

GeekCert.com

<https://www.geekcert.com/lsat-test.html>

2024 Latest geekcert LSAT-TEST PDF and VCE dumps Download

[LSAT-TEST PDF Dumps](#)

[LSAT-TEST VCE Dumps](#)

[LSAT-TEST Practice Test](#)